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ABSTRACT

Equine arteritis virus (EAV) was classified in the Arteriviridae family, and cause to abortus and disorders in alimentary and respiratory system. Equine Infectious Anemia virus (EIAV) is a Lentivirus into Retroviridae family. Both infections have worldwide dissemination. The aim of this study is to investigate these infections as serologically in horses from Ankara, Eskişehir and Afyonkarahisar provinces. A total of 204 (173 female, 31 male) samples were controlled using indirect ELISA. EAV specific antibodies were detected in 22 (10.8%) animals (20 female and 2 male). The ratios of EAV seropositive horses were 4.2% and 12.4% in the Afyonkarahisar and Eskişehir provinces, respectively, while all the sera samples were found to be negative from Ankara province. All of the samples were negative for EIAV as expected. As a result, both virus infections were investigated for the first time in the horse population in Afyonkarahisar and Eskişehir provinces.
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Türkiye’de Afyonkarahisar, Ankara ve Eskişehir İllerinde Equine Viral Arteritis ve Equine Infectious Anemia Virus Enfeksiyonlarının Serolojik Olarak Araştırılması
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INTRODUCTION

Equine arteritis virus (EAV) was classified in the *Arteriviridae* family, in order *Nidovirales* (Snijder and Meulenberg, 1998; Cavanagh et al. 1999). The agent is an enveloped, single stranded, positive sense RNA virus. The infection was well documented in horses since first identification in USA in 1953 (Bryans et al. 1957). Worldwide disseminated infection was not reported from Japan and Iceland (Kondo et al. 1998; Holyoak et al. 2008). Donkeys, mules and alpacas are also susceptible to the virus (Paweska and Barnard 1993; Paweska et al. 1996; Paweska et al. 1997; Weber et al. 2006). The pathogenic features of the infection are well-documented in horses (Timoney et al. 1986, 1987; Del Piero et al. 1997). The infection described as “abortion storm”. The agent can be transmitted via venereal and horizontal way. Experimental infections shows that, alimentary and respiratory disorders are common (Timoney and McCollum 1993, Del Piero et al. 1997). Low level of virus was detected in ovarian tissue and follicular fluid of mares (Holyoak et al. 2001). There were controversial findings about histopathological basis of abortion. Viral antigen was demonstrated within smooth muscle cells of the myometrium (Wada et al. 1995) and areolar trophoblast (Del Piero et al. 1997). This hypothesis was supported by some studies with no lesions on the fetal tissues. However, MacLachlan et al. (1996) were reported that, virus titer values of aborted fetuses were higher than its dams. This evidence indicated that there was substantial virus replication in the fetus. Outcomes of these studies reveal that combination of both maternal and fetal pathologies resulted with abortion.

Equine Infectious Anemia virus (EIAV) is widespread infection of horses and all equidae. The agent was classified into the family Retroviridae, genus Lentivirus. As typical Lentivirus, the target cells were monocytes and macrophages (Montagnier 1985). The horizontal transmission of the EIA virus from one horse to another occurs by bloodsucking insects (Issel and Foil, 1984). The most important transmission ways of the virus are blood transfer from infected to healthy horse and placental transmission from infected mares to their offspring by vertically. In addition, the virus could be transmitted to the foals via infected milk consuming from their mother or nursing (Stein and Mott 1946, 1947). In vitro embryo production procedure has been using more common recently, but a potential risk via infected embryo transfer was shown (Gregg and Polejaeva 2009). Tissue distribution of the virus is broad; including liver, spleen, kidney, lung, lymph node and adrenal gland (McGuire et al. 1971). Clinical courses of the EIAV infection can be seen as acute, chronic and subclinical forms. After nearly two months later, the first findings can be observed as a high fever, a drop in platelet and a high virus titer in the body. Later on, chronic stage starts with recurrent disease episodes. These animals became carrier following chronic period for rest of their life (Montelaro et al. 1993).

According to scientific reports conducted in many parts of Turkey, EVA rates were varied between 5% (Yılmaz et al. 1996) and 23.4% (Bulut et al. 2012). Turkey seems free from EIAV infection in accordance with numerous studies into last two decades (Burgu et al. 1989; Türan et al. 2002, Yapkış et al. 2007; Marenzoni et al. 2013). The purpose of this study is to investigate the EAV and EIAV infections serologically in Ankara, Eskişehir and Afyonkarahisar provinces in horses and to reveal first data on presence and proportion in Afyonkarahisar and Eskişehir provinces.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampled Animals

Blood serum samples were collected from different locations from Afyonkarahisar (38°45’N-30°33’E), Ankara (39°56’N-32°52’E) and Eskişehir (39°46’N-30°22’E) provinces, Turkey. All of the sampled horses were randomly selected among adults (older than 1 year old). Due to general breeding aim and habits, out of 204 animals only 31 was stallion. The samples were collected from private small and medium scale enterprises. Breeding aim was different; horse racing, stud animals, companion horses and pack horses in rural areas of these provinces. A part of horses were standard bred and Arabian race horses, however, nearly 60% of the animals have been breeding in an organized purebred stud farm.

All animals were clinically normal during sampling. Detailed health records could not obtained from standard bred horses due to the lack of regular health recording system. Any preventive health applies like vaccination has not been applying due to unprofessional breeding habits. In the other organized farms, routine vaccinations have been performing for some viral and bacterial infections, as Equine Herpes Virus 1/4 (EHV1/4), Equine Rhinopneumonitis, Antrax, etc. All of the animals were not vaccinated for EVA. EIA virus had eradicated from Turkey previously, by the way there is no vaccination protocol in the throughout country. Blood samples were drawn from Vena Jugularis into vacutainer tubes containing silicone, and transported to the laboratory at +4°C. The blood samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min. and serum fractions were separated into stock tubes, and stored at -20°C until the test.

Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA)

Sera samples were controlled for EVA virus specific Ab using an indirect ELISA test (ID Vet, France), and for EIA virus specific Ab detection, a
competitive EIA (IDEXX, USA) kit was utilised. The tests were carried out according to producer’s instructions. The plates were read in 450 nm, and each OD values were calculated.

RESULTS
A total of 204 horses were tested for EAV and EIA virus. The antibodies against EAV were detected in 22 (10.8%) animals (20 female and 2 male). The ratios of seropositive horses were 4.2% and 12.4%, in the Afyonkarahisar and Eskişehir provinces, respectively, while all the sera samples were found to be negative from Ankara province. EIAV specific antibodies were not detected in any of the studied horses.

Table 1: Serological test results of EVA and EIA infections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Localisation</th>
<th>Animal Number</th>
<th>EVA (Ab/%)</th>
<th>EIA (Ab/%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ankara</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Afyon</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1(4.2)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Eskişehir</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>21 (12.4)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>22 (10.8)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION
EVA infection has important impact on gynaecologic parameters. The infection was described with abortion and newborn deaths (Bryans et al. 1957, Newton et al. 1999), and has nearly world-wide dissemination (Timoney and McCollum 1988). There was an increase in outbreaks in last decades especially in Europe, such as England (Newton et al. 1999) and France outbreaks ( Pronost et al., 2010). In Germany, seroprevalence was risen up to 20% from 1.8%, between 1987 and 1994 ( Eichorn et al. 1995). Reported serologic data; 55.1% in Poland (Rola et al. 2011), 15% in Mongolia (Pagamjav et al. 2011), 73% in Australia (Huntington et al. 1990). According to a recent study in Iran, EVA antigen and antibody presence were reported as 4.46% and 4.04% in 470 horses, respectively (Nejat et al. 2015).

There is no data on initial entrance of the EAV infection in Turkey. All scientific reports on the issue were carried out in last two decades. According to chronological order; 5% in 1996 (Yılmaz et al. 1996), 9.5% and 8% values were reported in Kars and Ardahan provinces (Kürmüzgül et al. 2007), 7.5% in the Marmara region (Hasan 2008), 14.4% in donkeys in Kars (Yıldırım et al. 2008), 23.4% in Central Anatolia (Bulut et al. 2012), 8.4% in Sanliturfa (Ün et al. 2014) and 11.3% in Van (Gür et al. 2015). In this study, out of three studied province, seropositivity were determined in two. All of the samples from Ankara was found to be negative, while 4.2% (1/24) and 12.4% (21/169) proportions were determined in Afyonkarahisar and Eskişehir provinces, respectively. In total, out of 204 adult horses, 22 of them (10.8%) were spent natural EVA infection. Previously, Bulut et al. (2012) were studied in Konya (19.2%, 40/208), Kayseri (34%, 30/88) and Ankara (22.6%, 19/84).

The higher rate of their study in Ankara could be explained by differences in sampled population. In addition, the number of sampled animals was low in our study; obtained data from Ankara was not enough to make a healthy evaluation.

The virus could be taken via direct contact with respiratory fluids, fomites, aerosol way and semen from acute infected animals and/or carrier stallions (Guthrie et al. 2003). After primary replication, viremia occurs and the virus is spread to lung and bronchial lymph nodes within 2 days post infection (pi). The agent can be isolated from buffy coat and other secrets on days 2-28 pi (Timoney and McCollum 1993). After acute infection, mares and geldings eliminate the virus within maximum 60 days. Seldomly, carrier state can be seen in respiratory system in prepubertal colts until 6 months old age. However, nearly 30-60% of the infected stallions could be stay carrier for a long time or lifetime. They shed the virus in the semen, but not in blood, respiratory secretions and urine (Timoney et al. 1986; Neu et al. 1987). This situation restricts the international horse movements and semen importation without laboratory controls in most of the countries of the world (Ahlswede et al. 1998; Balasuriya et al. 1998). The role of imported stallions or frozen semen in the outbreaks was outlined by many researchers (Metcalf 2001). In the present study, all of the mares were breeding by stallions naturally; artificial insemination was not in question. Breeding practices were totally traditional in the small private farms. Stallions can be used from the other stud farms in natural breeding season, also. In the organized horse farm, there were very few numbers of stallions but they have been keeping in separate units from the mares. According to transmission dynamics, incidence can be increase rapidly within farms. Horse racing conditions and contact with other horses in course of usage as a pack animal during daily works creates risk for exposure. Therefore, all of the transmission ways stated above could be valid in the sampling performed farms in this study. Because of horse breeding practices in Turkey, mares have been preferred for their mild temperament for the pack animal; this predilection was not valid in the purebred race horse farms.

EIAV infection was described initially in 1843 (Lignée 1843), later on, the clinical features was well defined in 1904 (Vallee and Carre, 1904). Today, the infection has been declared from America, parts of Europe, the Middle and Far East, Russia and South
Africa (APHIS 2006, DEFRA 2006). In Turkey, the first declaration of the EIA was in a horse according to pathological findings in Ankara (Akçay 1953). The first outbreak was reported in 1952 in Sultansuyu state stud horse farm (Noyan 1958). In 1955, the infection was also detected in horses from different locations histopathologically (Hakioğlu 1955). After that, the infection was disappeared as a result of implemented proper precautions strictly, as it’s supposed to be. Years later the infection was studied as serologically from various part of Turkey. Total of 294 sera from pure bred horse breeding state farms were found to be negative (Burgu et al. 1989). Following studies conducted on horse, mule and donkeys; 404 sera from Marmara Region (Turan et al. 2002), 406 serum from Central Anatolia (Yapıkç et al. 2007), 476 samples from North-East Anatolia (Kirmızıgül et al. 2009), 8,947 samples from Ardahan province (Albayrak and Ozan, 2010) and 346 samples from provinces at Marmara and Aegean Regions (Marenzoni et al. 2013) were controlled for EIA and all of them were verified as negative. In the present study, all of the samples from different farms in three provinces were determined as negative for the EIAV infection as expected.

EVA outbreaks could be seen time to time despite strict control measurement like vaccination, controlled semen usage, to prevent the importation of uncontrolled horses and testing all breeding stallions in developed countries. In Turkey, organized pure bred stud horse farms have been taking all necessary precautions. Even though total eradication has not been succeeded, economic and fertility disorders were reduced as much as possible. EIAV infection has not seen since mid 1950’s, although considering newly introduced arboviral infections and increase in incidence of vector borne infections in Turkey, there might be risk for following years via live animal transportation and widen in vector habitat. Countrywide routine health monitoring survey system has not been held as it should be. Traditional breeding habits in rural areas in horses and donkeys creates obstacle in front of the control and total eradication of these and similar infections in the country.
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